
Subject: Re: Introducing FL
Posted by [biophys](#) on Sun, 02 Apr 2006 19:15:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

thanks, Lajos

Now I am convinced that FL is really fast, faster than IDL6.2! It was not a fair comparison in my previous post. That idl time_test was run inside IDLDE and the fl time_test in gnome-terminal with transparency enabled. It seems that enabling transparency does slow things down. Now if I run both time_tests in gnome_terminal with no background. FL is the clear winner! Very impressive!

```
IDL6.2 time_test3 0.89sec  
FL0.6  time_test3 0.43sec
```

bp

FL wrote:

```
> Hi,  
>  
> On Sat, 1 Apr 2006, biophys wrote:  
>  
>> good job, Lajos! It does run as fast as idl6.2 with some of my old  
>> code. i've noticed backingstore problems with my linux machine. device,  
>> retain=2 does not work. i'd like to know how to set working dir/search  
>> path/start_up file.  
>>  
>> cheers,  
>> bp  
>>  
>  
> Thanks!  
>  
> HELP, /LIB will list all system routines with available parameters.  
> RETAIN for DEVICE is not allowed yet, but it is on my todo list.  
>  
> time_test needs write permission to the current directory. You can move  
> around with CD, 'dir' (read the working dir with CD, CURR=curr & PRINT,  
> curr). Search path is the normal !PATH. The start up file name can be  
> given in the FL_STARTUP env. variable.  
>  
> So you can run time_test by  
>  
> CD, 'some_dir_with_write_permission'  
> !PATH+=':/usr/local/rsi/idl/lib'  
> TIME_TEST3
```

>
>
> regards,
> lajos
