
Subject: Re: Free source code diagramming programs
Posted by [Gary L. Scott](#) on Wed, 12 Apr 2006 14:54:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mitch grunes wrote:

>> Well yeah, but it's a lot of
>> hard work to trace over
>> 3914 lines of useless Usenet post...
>
>
> OK, next time I'll limit the source code to alt.sources, or maybe find
> another free web hoster that lets me store source code files.
>
> Didn't occur to me that some Usenet reading programs make you read
> everything.
>
> As to the Ben Pfaff, who preferred indentation, I do that on my own code.
> It's good enough for short programs, if I make no mistakes.
>
> But the next time someone hands you a 20000 or so line legacy program
> developed by 200 people over 30 years, that no one alive understands,
> you may appreciate whatever help you can get. There is a lot of code
> out there like that. The definition of a professional is that you do
> what you are paid to do.
>
> Brooks Moses's idea of coloring indentation stuff to make it more clear
> sounds neat. But a lot of work - I was trying to stay away from
> postscript.
>
On windows, color would be quite easy using an RTF edit box. You can
then output the RTF content to disk. RTF is widely supported as an
import format to most word processors on other platforms and it remains
editable. Postscript is a printer data stream. It shouldn't be used as
a document interchange format. I would think that it would be
desireable for this output to remain editable so that you can import it
into presentations or other documents and tweak it (fonts, spacing, etc).

--

Gary Scott
<mailto:garyscott@ev1.net>

Fortran Library: <http://www.fortranlib.com>

Support the Original G95 Project: <http://www.g95.org>

-OR-

Support the GNU GFortran Project: <http://gcc.gnu.org/fortran/index.html>

Why are there two? God only knows.

If you want to do the impossible, don't hire an expert because he knows it can't be done.

-- Henry Ford
