

---

Subject: Re: 6.3 reactions?

Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Mon, 08 May 2006 20:25:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Mon, 08 May 2006 07:29:54 -0700, codepod wrote:

- > JD, the implementation is simpler than what you outline. The object is
- > only present on the "parent" process, so the actual object instance
- > data is not shared between the processes. The child process has no
- > indication it's being run as a worker: it just receives commands and
- > executes them. IDL variables are transferred between the processes by
- > the bridge using shared memory. The parent process can Get or Set
- > variables in the child process. Overall it's a very simple interface
- > that brings a powerful new dimension to IDL applications.

Thanks for the info. Does Get/Set actually copy variables, or just provide references in shared memory (which of course would require IDL setting up the existing heap for sharing)? That would allow you to Get/Set self in an object, and have it operated on independently in both sides.

JD

---