
Subject: Re: Create new arrays from series of subsequent integers in an existing array

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 24 May 2006 22:23:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JD Smith writes:

> Some remixing there... mostly fine, but at one point you you say
> "although not in this particular case" regarding the correctness of
> the form of reverse indices indexing. That's actually not true: both
> forms are correct, it's just that with the data[index_vector] form,
> it's not easy to extend the range of indices by one. You could
> actually say:
>
> data[[index_vector,index_vector[n_elements(index_vector)-1]]]
>
> and this would do it just as well. Using the data[low:high] trick,
> where you know you have consecutive indices in your HISTOGRAM bin,
> just allows you to append one to the range of indices to recover that
> missing member of the group. So both are correct, but one is more
> convenient.

OK, I don't honestly know what it means either way, but I
fixed it to indicate both are correct. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Let's just say if both are correct, the solution is even
MORE of a mystery to me! And I like it that way.

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
