

---

Subject: Re: Julian Day Question

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Fri, 26 May 2006 17:09:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Wayne Landsman wrote:

> Paul Van Delst wrote:

>

>

>> However, it the two julday results up top still seem inconsistent. If I'm an astronomer

>> and my day start reference for input to the julday routine is 12 noon, then why do

>> julday(1,1,1,0,0,0) and julday(1,1,1) provide different results? Doesn't

>> julday(1,1,1,0,0,0) refer to 0hours, 0minutes, 0seconds beyond the (12noon) start of the

>> day? Why does providing the ",0,0,0" hh,mm,ss data cause the start reference to suddenly

>> shift by 12 hours?

>>

>

>

> The way I think about it is that there are two distinct quantities: an

> integral "Julian Day" and a real-valued "Julian Date". For example,

> from the US Naval Observatory Website

> <http://tycho.usno.navy.mil/systime.html>

>

> \*\*

> Julian Day Number is a count of days elapsed since Greenwich mean noon

> on 1 January 4713 B.C., Julian proleptic calendar. The Julian Date is

> the Julian day number followed by the fraction of the day elapsed

> since the preceding noon.

> \*\*\*

Ah. Now it becomes clear. Apples [julday(1,1,1,0,0,0)] vs. oranges [julday(1,1,1)].

> So when you supply the IDL julday() function with only the day, month

> and year, it calculates the integral Julian day (and returns a

> longword). If you also supply the hh,mm,ss (even if this is 0,0,0)

> then it returns a double precision Julian date. --Wayne

Thanks,

paulv

--

Paul van Delst            Ride lots.

CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP/EMC

Eddy Merckx

Ph: (301)763-8000 x7748

Fax:(301)763-8545

---