
Subject: Re: x-ray imaging using 3x4 projection matrices
Posted by [Marius Hagen](#) on Mon, 03 Jul 2006 18:08:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mark wrote:

> I would like to simulate projecting x-rays through a my own virtual 3D
> object to this 2D plane for any given projection angle using the 3x4
> projection matrices that I have. Does anyone have some code for this,
> or some advice as to how to proceed?

Mark,

Your simulation sounds like the classic tomosynthesis problem, which is closely related to the instrument that I work with. In order to construct a simulation for the system that I use, I first chop the object up into voxels (often millions of voxels) and then I can consider each planar slice of the object (a plane parallel to the detector plane). Each of these slices is a 2D function which gets mapped to a set of 2D pixels on the detector plane, so my simulation involves calculating the magnification and shift for doing that mapping, and then interpolating the result onto the detector pixel grid.

As far as constructing a system matrix for this, then, you need a matrix which tells you the sensitivity of each pixel on the detector to every voxel in the object. (This matrix gets very large very fast as the object voxels and detector pixels increase in number.) What I do is to build a matrix in which the columns are the voxels of the object, the rows are the pixels of the image, and the values of each entry are the "sensitivities", meaning that if an object voxel has a value of "1" and the corresponding pixel has a value of "0.5", then that matrix element will have a value of 0.5. The above process of calculating the signal at each pixel is then just the process of filling in the elements of the system matrix. You will find that the matrix is generally extremely sparse.

My system measures emission, and so the pixel values are exactly the things I'm interested in. Since you're doing an x-ray problem, you probably will be interested in the logarithm of those values rather than the values themselves, but the overall process should be similar. I hope this helps...

- Marius
