
Subject: Re: failed matrix inversion returns input-- interesting

Posted by [mmeron](#) on Fri, 14 Jul 2006 07:30:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <1152860961.931059.317520@35g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>, "m_schellens@hotmail.com" <m_schellens@hotmail.com> writes:

>

> Ed Hyer wrote:

>> Can someone explain this behavior?

>> IDL> testm=[[0,0,0,0],[0,1,0,0],[0,0,1,0],[0,0,0,1]]

>> IDL> testmi=invert(testm,status)

>> IDL> print,status; 0=success, 1=fail, 2=pivot used

>> 1

>> IDL> print,testmi

>> 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000

>> 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000 0.00000

>> 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000 0.00000

>> 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 1.00000

>>

>> Is there any reason in creation why IDL simply copies the input into
>> the output if it cannot do the inversion? That seems, well, malevolent,
>> unless I'm missing something.

>

> Well, what do you suggest is better?

>

Well, nearly anything would be better.

I've a routine, called SVD_INVERT, which returns a regular inverse when one exists, else it returns and inverse in the "SVD sense".

Would the IDL routine have been doing this, that would be fine (though it should've been documented). But, it is not doing this either.

So IMO, it would've been preferable to return an array of NaNs in such case.

Mati Meron | "When you argue with a fool,
meron@cars.uchicago.edu | chances are he is doing just the same"
