
Subject: Re: file_lines and expand path

Posted by [James Kuyper](#) on Fri, 04 Aug 2006 21:48:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul van Delst wrote:

...
> Hang on a minute.... in the IDL file_lines documentation it states:
>
>
> Return Value
> -----
> Returns the number of lines of text contained within the specified file or files. If an
> array of file names is specified via the Path parameter, the return value is an array with

Note: "If an array of file names is specified ...".

> the same number of elements as Path, with each element containing the number of lines in
> the corresponding file.
>
> Arguments
> -----
> Path
> A scalar string or string array containing the names of the text files for which the
> number of lines is desired.
>
>
> So, the IDL version of file_lines *should* return an array when multiple files are given.
> Does this mean IDL has a bug in file_lines() with regards to how it handles wildcard
> input? The words associated with the /NOEXPAND_PATH suggest that wildcard inputs are
> allowed (although it doesn't specifically say it).

I've tested it, and an array of strings works exactly as it should. I suspect that the possibility of a string argument containing wildcards that allow it to match multiple files was not even considered.

Issue: if the FL version returns an array when given a string argument with wildcards that match multiple files, how should it handle an array of string arguments, some or all of which have that same characteristic? Personally, I think it should return an array of line counts with the same length as the array of path names; anything else would make it very complicated for the the calling routine to match up inputs and outputs. I'd recommend using a total when multiple matches to a wildcard occur, because I can actually imagine contexts where that would be useful. I can't see anything useful about returning the length of a randomly member of the matching set of files.
