Subject: Re: slow processing of my k-nearest neighour code
Posted by James Kuyper on Mon, 14 Aug 2006 20:50:16 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

humphreymurray@gmail.com wrote:
> Hi,
>
> I am trying to implement a k-nearest neighbout classifier in IDL. The
> problem is that it's running really, really slow. After reading
> through much of the IDL documentation, I have managed to increase it's
> processing speed significantly, by reordering my arrays to make better
> use of contiguous memory. However it still runs quite slow. Can
> anybody help me make this more efficient?
>
> Cheers, Humphrey Murray
>
>
> ; knn_classifer
> ; This code preforms a k-nearest neighbour classification.
> ; - training_data :: A 2d array containing the training data [Image
> data, different bands]
> ; - training_classes :: A 1d array containing the classes that
> represent the data [class value (integer)]
> ; - testing_data: A 2d array with the same dimensions as training_data,
> which contains the data to be classified
> ; - k: The number of nearest neighbours to look at
> ; - result: The result of the classifier, a 1d array.
>
> pro knn_classifier, training_data, training_classes, testing_data, k,
> result
>
> ; Find out the sizes of the input arrays
> testing_data_sizes = size(testing_data)
> training_data_sizes = size(training_data)
>
> ; Check to make sure that the input arrays are of the correct
> dimensions, and contain the same number of attributes
> IF training_data_sizes[0] NE 2 THEN Message, 'The training data
> must be an array of 2 dimensions.'
> IF testing_data_sizes[0] NE 2 THEN Message, 'The testing data must
> be an array of 2 dimensions.'
> IF testing_data_sizes[2] NE training_data_sizes[2] THEN Message,
> 'The training and testing data must have the same number of attributes
> (i.e., the arrays need to be the same size in their first dimension)'
>
> ; Find out how many elements there are to test
> num_testing_elements = testing_data_sizes[1]
> num_training_elements = training_data_sizes[1]

```
>
>     ; Find out the number of attributes
>     num_attributes = training_data_sizes[2]
>
>     ; A temporary storage spot
>     squared = make_array(num_training_elements, num_attributes)
>     euclidean = make_array(num_training_elements)
>
>     ; Create an array for storing the results
>     result = make_array(num_testing_elements, /INTEGER)
>     temp_testing_data = make_array(num_training_elements,
> num_attributes)
>
>     ; calculate the distances for each training item
>     for i = long(0), num_testing_elements - 1 do begin
>
>       ; Calculate the squared distance for each attribute.
>       squared = make_array(num_training_elements, num_attributes)
>       for attrib = 0, num_attributes-1 do begin
>         squared[*,attrib] = (testing_data[i, attrib] -
> training_data[*,attrib])^2
>       endfor
>
>       ; Calculate the sums of the squared differences accross the
> attributes
>       euclidean = sqrt(total(squared, 2))
```

You can move a large portion of the above code outside both loops,
simplifying and presumeably speeding up your program:

```
   ; A temporary storage spot
   training_duplicates = REBIN(TRANSPOSE(training_data), $
      num_attributes, num_training_elements, num_testing_elements)
   testing_duplicates = TRANSPOSE(REBIN(TRANSPOSE(testing_data), $
      num_attributes, num_testing_elements, num_training_elements),
[0,2,1] )
   euclidean = sqrt(TOTAL((training_duplicates-testing_duplicates)^2,
1))
```

However, I can't figure out how to remove the sort from the loop.
Therefore, you'll still need:

```
>       ; Calculate the distances and sort the indexs of these
>       sorted_indexs = sort(euclidean)
```

With one minor change:

```
   sorted_indexs = sort(euclidean[*,i])
```

```
>         ; Create an array that contains the classes of the items with
>  the k
>         k_closest_classes = training_classes[sorted_indexs[0:k-1]]
>
>         ; Store the mode (classes with the highest frequency)
>         result[i] = mode(k_closest_classes)
>
>      endfor
>
>  end
```

I hope that helps.
