
Subject: Re: IMSL coming to IDL

Posted by [Haje Korth](#) on Thu, 31 Aug 2006 16:59:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Matt,

well, I'd like to have a bunch of those functions ready to use in IDL, although I admit I would probably only use a fraction of them. I am not saying that there are not other solutions (thanks for you link btw). However, integrating these libraries into IDL via DLM is a lot work and the time i would need creating my own IMSL DLM for 180 functions would cost way more than \$1000. If you have ever done DLM programming you know what I am talking about.

Haje

savoie@nsidc.org wrote:

> "Haje Korth" <haje.korth@jhuapl.edu> writes:

>

>> Anyway, I am testing the IMSL lib and my first impression is that I
>> like what I see. The question is in the end whether I can justify the
>> spending.

>

> Can you explain how you like what you see? What's different? What are
> the

> real improvements? The more I look at it, the less interesting it
> seems. Of

> course, when I've had to do any hard core statistical stuff, I've gone
> to R

> (<http://www.r-project.org/index.html>) It's free, very well supported,
> and

> runs most platforms.

>

> So Haje, seriously let me know what's new, better, and how.

>

> Matt
