
Subject: Re: DXF and Face3D

Posted by [Karl Schultz](#) on Thu, 31 Aug 2006 14:56:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If your original input data was in the form of an implicit quad mesh, then you might be able to write it to DXF as a quad mesh instead of a polygon with a connectivity list.

MESH_SMOOTH just moves the vertices around and returns the modified vertex list without changing the connectivity. (I was a bit wrong about this in my last posting) So, you should be able to submit the new vertex list to DXF, since the shape of the mesh hasn't changed and you don't need a connectivity list. Use the POLYGON type and specify the MESH_DIMS instead of the connectivity list. Again, this only works if your original data can be specified as an implicit quad mesh.

If you are dealing with general polygons with connectivity data, then you might just want to try it with the POLYGON entity with connectivity list.

My reading of the problem description is that IDL ran the polygon through the tessellator when it did not need to. This resulted in storing a polygon in the DXF file that had a different vertex list and connectivity list, as compared to the input. But, depending on the input, the mesh stored in the DXF file could be equivalent to the input mesh. If the input mesh had things in it like overlapping faces, self-intersections, or holes, the mesh stored in the DXF file might not be topologically equivalent to the input mesh.

In other words, if your mesh is simple or regular, you might get away with it.

Otherwise, you are probably out of luck if you do not upgrade to 6.2. The fix for 6.2 was pretty involved and I don't think that there are any other sneaky workarounds.

Karl

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006 22:24:25 -0700, Thomas Launey wrote:

> Dear Karl,
> Thank you very much for the update on 6.2. Unfortunately I am still on
> 6.1, with no prospect of upgrade. Any suggestion for fixing the problem
> on 6.1?
> Thanks
> Thomas
>
> Karl Schultz wrote:

>>
>> You should probably go ahead and use the type 9 entity. I fixed the
>> problem that you mentioned that I mentioned in IDL 6.2.
>>
>> I think that the MESH_SMOOTH algorithm ends up treating your mesh as a
>> triangle mesh, and so outputs it as such.
>>
>> If you supply a vertex list and a connectivity list to a type 9 DXF
>> entity, IDL will examine the connectivity list and NOT call the tessellator
>> if all polygons in the mesh are triangles (as of IDL 6.2).
>>
>> Karl
