Subject: Re: IDL & iTools used as post-processor for other commercial software Posted by Kenneth P. Bowman on Tue, 03 Oct 2006 02:19:20 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <452189d6\$1_2@marge.ic.sunysb.edu>,
Benjamin Hornberger

benjamin.hornberger@stonybrook.edu> wrote:

- > To add some support for the poor guys at ITTVIS: I fully back Mirko's
- > statement -- not perfect but miles ahead of direct graphics commands to
- > quickly create a graphic, do some *interactive* adjustments, add
- > annotations, print directly from the screen (with page preview!), and
- > save it in an editable form.

>

- > The lack of acceptance for iTools in this group sometimes sounds to me
- > like the "can't teach an old dog new tricks" problem :-). Ok, everybody
- > has their own preferences, but I recommend everybody to give it a try.

Actually, I am finding the iTools to be quite handy, but I can't say I love them (yet). They are very cool for doing 3-D interactive graphics.

Off the top of my head, here is my current list of gotchas with iTools:

- 1. Despite several tries, I have never been able to produce any usable PostScript graphics from an iTool (either to a printer or a file). My only option is to capture really big bitmap files. Yuck. This is OK for giving talks, but not for published graphics. This is still a deal breaker, in my opinion.
- 2. Manipulating iTools programmatically is not too hard. What is hard is figuring what it is *possible* to do. I find myself doing trial-and-error pattern matching on the list of iTool IDs in the iTool hierarchy hoping that I am looking for the right keyword. The keywords often don't match the labels in the parameter lists.
- 3. Many things are still obscure. If you start out with iMap, you can specify the GRID_UNITS keyword. But if you want to add a map to an existing iTool and do things in degrees? I'm still at the bottom of the learning curve on that one.
- 4. If my z-coordinate decreases upward (e.g., atmospheric pressure), I have to add a light at the *bottom* of the display in order to light the *top* of an isosurface. I suppose there is some logic to this based on the direction of the normals to the surface, but it is not user friendly.

Cheers, Ken Bowman