
Subject: Re: wrapper functions

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 00:52:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dometz writes:

- > Hah, I see... is there a way that I wont have to define the positional
- > parameters since otherwise I will have to check again which ones were
- > passed in?
- >
- > Example
- > READ_PICT, Filename, Image [, R, G, B]
- >
- > so, here I would have to check if R was passed in, if G was passed in,
- > if B was passed in to see if I need to include them in the call inside
- > of the wrapper function.

If you don't want to rely on the error handling of the routine you are writing the wrapper for, you need to check the required positional parameters (filename, and image in this case). I would define the optional positional parameters, but I wouldn't bother checking them, since the routine you are calling will do that. (At least if it is written half-way decently. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
