
Subject: Re: I need a bit of help....Convol and functions

Posted by D.Kochman@gmail.com on Wed, 04 Oct 2006 13:53:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

kuyper@wizard.net wrote:

> D.Kochman@gmail.com wrote:

>>> Yes, func() needs to create an array for CONVOL() to convolve. However,
>>> sumex should already be an array, in order for this code to work as
>>> intended, and this code does nothing to change any aspect of sumex. I'm
>>> not sure I understand what you mean by the comment "but its a
>>> function".

>>

>>

>> Thanks for the help, slowly but surely I'm starting to get it. What I
>> meant by "but its a function" is I just don't see how sumex is an
>> array. If I were to put

>>

>> sumex = X

>>

>> that to me makes sumex a function, namely, $f(x)=x$

>

> No, in IDL, the statement sumex=x would make the variable named sumex

> refer to an object which is an exact copy of x. If X is an array, then

> sumex will be an array of the same type and shape. In the actual code

> you gave us,

>

> sumex=P(0)*exp(-X / P(1))+P(2)*exp(-X / P(3))+P(7)*exp(-X / P(8))

>

> dividing X by P(1) creates a new array with the same shape as X.

> Passing that array to exp() produces a result with the same shape as X,

> and multiplying it by P(0) also produces an array in the same shape.

> The same applies to each of the terms in that sum, and adding all three

> terms together also produces an array of the same shape.

Aha! Therein lies my problem. You sir are a god amongst men. =)

Thanks a billion.

OK, thanks for all the help, I do understand now. WOOHOO! Sometimes you have to realize how far you have to come in order to really understand something. I know I don't really understand IDL, but I'm slowly progressing. The deceptively simple syntax of IDL hides some surprises.
