Subject: Re: wrapper functions
Posted by JD Smith on Mon, 09 Oct 2006 18:03:21 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 06 Oct 2006 14:06:41 -0700, Dometz wrote:

- > Hey Ken,
- >
- > Yeah, I am still trying to find a solution that will allow my to write
- > more or less completely transparent wrappers. Not guite there yet... or
- > in other words havent figured out to what extend this is possible or
- > not.

If you're simply passing through a known number of positional parameters, you don't need to check anything:

pro wrapper, a,b,c,\_REF\_EXTRA=e routine,a,b,c,\_EXTRA=e end

should do it. The a,b,c vars will either be defined if passed, or undefined if they weren't used.

There is a nice story in the archives about whether you can tell the difference between an undefined variable having been passed as a positional argument, and no argument having been passed at all (related to the sound of one hand clapping). The answer is yes, but since no code actually does this (certainly no RSI code), this simple wrapper method works fine.

A more complicated case arises when you want a dynamic number of positional arguments, for example with call\_procedure. Then you are forced to build large switch statements, etc. Often you're better off building your own \_EXTRA structures by hand in this case.

JD

P.S. See http://www.dfanning.com/tips/keyword\_check.html