Subject: Re: wrapper functions
Posted by David Fanning on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 15:58:25 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

R.G. Stockwell writes:

```
>> "David Fanning" <davidf@dfanning.com> wrote...
>>
>>> You could, but the chance of someone passing an R
>>> vector without a G and B vector must be within the
>>> floating underflow error of zero. Why waste time
>>> coding for things that aren't going to happen? :-)
>>
>> Well, the answer to that question is:
>> If a user _can_ break it, a user _will_ break it.
>
> Hey wait, there was a smiley face at the end of it.
> Please disregard my previous message.
```

When I wrote that statement I realized it was totally out of character for me (I am normally EXTREMELY anal when it comes to programming). But, honestly, who passes an R vector without a G and B? Absolutely no one.

This is probably the reason these parameters are written as optional *positional* parameters. You would be out of your mind to write them as keywords: too much work for the user. You might write a PALETTE keyword that would allow you to pass a 3-by-n array of color table vectors. That would be useful, but then the user would probably have to figure out how to create a 3 by n array of color table vectors and even I can't remember how to do this half the time. :-(

For those of you who haven't read the Dimensional Juggling Tutorial in some time, you do it like this:

On the other hand, a PALETTE keyword would sure make a lot more sense here if what we are trying to get across is that sometimes you pass (or get) a color table and sometimes you don't. But this would require a LOT more programming and I would have to ask myself in the end if making the program "better" is really worth it. In this case, I think the answer is clearly "probably not".

Cheers,

David

David Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/ Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")