Subject: Re: wrapper functions Posted by news.qwest.net on Fri, 06 Oct 2006 15:35:03 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

"David Fanning" <davidf@dfanning.com> wrote in message news:MPG.1f90094ce6b18f6989d08@news.frii.com...

. . .

- > You could, but the chance of someone passing an R
- > vector without a G and B vector must be within the
- > floating underflow error of zero. Why waste time
- > coding for things that aren't going to happen? :-)

:O

Well, the answer to that question is:

If a user \_can\_ break it, a user \_will\_ break it.

Of course, all your other statements are right on the money.

Cheers, bob