
Subject: Re: Return UNDEFINED from a function
Posted by [JD Smith](#) on Thu, 12 Oct 2006 19:54:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 12:21:47 -0600, Jean H. wrote:

- > In this case, what would be the interest in keeping a function rather
- > than a procedure? ... the result of the function, if defined, is of
- > prime interest... with this method one would have to run the function a
- > 2nd time in order to get the result value.
- >
- > I guess one could do
- > proName,args, result
- >
- > If args are defined, n_elements(results) will be > 0 (with all the
- > proper checks in the procedure, so ****nothing**** is done if args are missing.

I admit it's not the most useful thing (it would be much more useful if UNDEFINED were a valid variable type, which could be assigned to other variables), but that doesn't mean there's no conceivable better use.
