Subject: Re: Return UNDEFINED from a function Posted by JD Smith on Thu, 12 Oct 2006 19:54:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, 12 Oct 2006 12:21:47 -0600, Jean H. wrote:

- > In this case, what would be the interest in keeping a function rather
- > than a procedure? ... the result of the function, if defined, is of
- > prime interest... with this method one would have to run the function a
- > 2nd time in order to get the result value.

>

- > I guess on could do
- > proName,args, result

- > If args are defined, n_elements(results) will be > 0 (with all the
- > proper checks in the procedure, so **nothing** is done if args are missing.

I admit it's not the most useful thing (it would be much more useful if UNDEFINED were a valid variable type, which could be assigned to other variables), but that doesn't mean there's no conceivable better use.