
Subject: Re: vector of bin indices using histogram?
Posted by [Paolo Grigis](#) on Wed, 18 Oct 2006 14:47:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is it fair to add the time used for computing the min & max of the data into the total time for the direct method? When using real data you might already know them, or else you can get them directly out of the histogram via the omin and omax keywords....

You might also want to change the computation of b with a division into a multiplication by the reciprocal (see example below).

```
x=fltarr(5d7)
```

```
t=systime(/seconds)
y=x/2.
print,systime(/seconds)-t
    0.82191920
```

```
t=systime(/seconds)
y=x*(1./2)
print,systime(/seconds)-t
    0.33465910
```

Ciao,
Paolo

greg michael wrote:

```
> Thanks Ben - I never met that function before! Unfortunately, it's
> using a bisection search, and comes out a little slower than the the
> direct calculation:
```

```
>
> pro test2,n
> x=randomu(0,n)
> h = HISTOGRAM(x, BINSIZE = 0.1, LOC = loc, MIN = 0.0)
>
> t=systime(/seconds)
> mx=max(x,min=mn)
> b=fix((x-mn)/(mx-mn)*10)
> print,"direct calc",systime(/seconds)-t
>
> t=systime(/seconds)
> b=VALUE_LOCATE(loc, x)
> print,"value_locate",systime(/seconds)-t
> end
```

>
> IDL> test2,5e7
> direct calc 1.5470002
> value_locate 2.8750000
>
> Well, maybe the direct calculation isn't so inefficient. But histogram
> must have known those numbers during its calculation. It's a pity they
> got thrown away.
>
> Greg
>
