## Subject: Re: vector of bin indices using histogram? Posted by btt on Wed, 18 Oct 2006 13:19:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
greg michael wrote:
> Can anyone suggest a good way to get a vector of bin indices using
 histogram?
> IDL> x=randomu(0,10)
 IDL> print,x
     0.415999
                              0.756410
                                          0.529700
                                                      0.930436
                0.0919649
  0.383502
              0.653919
                         0.0668422
                                       0.722660
                                                   0.671149
>
  I make a histogram anyway:
>
 IDL> h=histogram(x,binsize=.1)
> And I also want to know which bin each element went into:
 i.e. b=[4,0,7,5,9,3,6,0,7,6]
> I could calculate that from the original data of course, but I'm sure
> there must be a trick to get it out of the reverse_indices more
> efficiently (when n_elements is huge).
>
Hi,
I think this does the trick by using the LOCATION output keyword to
HISTOGRAM and then VALUE LOCATE. I had to specify the MINIMUM bin value
to get the indices lined up to match yours.
x = [0.415999, 0.0919649, 0.756410,
                                       0.529700.
                                                    0.930436,$
0.383502.
             0.653919, 0.0668422,
                                       0.722660,
                                                    0.671149]
h = HISTOGRAM(x, BINSIZE = 0.1, LOC = loc, MIN = 0.0)
print, VALUE_LOCATE(loc, x)
Cheers,
Ben
> While experimenting, I came across this, which is not nice...
```

```
>
> IDL> x=randomu(0,100)*1000.
> IDL> print,histogram(x,nbins=4)
         31
                 34
                          34
                                   1
>
>
> The max value sometimes ends up in a bin of its own (usually this last
  bin is zero - I suppose it's a rounding problem).
>
>
>
  And then a question about reverse_indices - (I think it's not touched
  in JD's tutorial):
>
> why are the two parts shoved into a single array? Is there an
> application where this arrangement gives some benefit? Wouldn't the
> first half make more sense indexing a second separate vector without
  the need for this offset?
>
>
> regards,
> Greg
```