
Subject: Re: Memory leak ?

Posted by on Tue, 19 Sep 1995 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

nmw@ion.le.ac.uk (Nigel Wade,Physics,3568,,) wrote:

>
> [Quote of my original post deleted...]
>
>
> When you quit IDL what happens to those two small processes which are
> doing data input?
>
> If you do a "ps -el" command to look at everything on the system, does
> any process show up as a memory hog? Look at the SZ:RSS column, the
> first number is the size of the process in 4Kb blocks.
>
> We use IDL extensively on SGI kit and have not come across this problem.
> IDL can be a memory hog, but it does give it back to the system when it is
> exited (at least it always has done for us so far). We are running IRIX 5.2
> though, so there might be a difference there.
>
> --
> Nigel Wade, System Administrator, Ionospheric Physics Group,
> University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK
> E-mail : nmw@ion.le.ac.uk
> Phone : +44 (0)116 2523568, Fax : +44 (0)116 2523555
>

I got a reply from SGI on my original post, and they say it's a known bug in IRIX 5.3. Your experience might indicate that the problem is not present in IRIX 5.2 - if that is the case I will downgrade our system. I have asked SGI if 5.2 has the same problem, and I'll post the reply here when it arrives.

Until then I strongly suggest IDL users NOT to upgrade to 5.3

Heres the mail I got from SGI:

>
> Note however that displaying the image with imgview does NOT
> reserve memory between sessions. This might indicate that
> there is a bad combination of a non-robust Xserver and IDL's way
> of using X-resources.
>
> But Martin experienced similar problems without using IDL,
> so: SGI, don't blame it all on RSI, I do believe you play
> a part in this mess too! :-)

I don't blame anyone. Before I go and blame it on bug # 254412

I want to make sure it's not something else.

Here is a work around for 254412 that was posted a while back !

Insert the following lines in /usr/bin/X11/X just before the exec to the Xsgi and Xsgi_d

```
MALLOC_CONFIG=2:mm_minunmapsize=2097152:mm_szunmapshift=21:m
m_minunmapsrch=0\
:mm_xf[0].mm_flindx=8:mm_xf[0].mm_szshft=5:mm_xf[1].mm_flindx=2055\
:mm_xf[1].mm_szshft=9:mm_xf[2].mm_flindx=2065:mm_xf[2].mm_szshft=20:mm_flsearh=3
0
export MALLOC_CONFIG
```

Add large amounts of virtual swap.

IT DOES NOT FIX THE PROBLEM !! It prolongs it. We have a patch in the works being tested at a couple of customers.

The memory is actually not being used, it's not a leak in the traditional sense, it's more an accounting problem/fragmentation problem that only shows up with some special sizes and allocation sequences that seem to be more frequent than when we originally tested it.

Apologies for the inconvenience, it's a top priority problem. I can't make commitments to this since this problem belongs to someone else and I cannot speak for them.

--

```
      \      \      Internationalization R&D
  / \ / \ / -- / -- /
 / / / / / / / / / Graphics is cool
 \ \ \ \ \ \ / / / / o Internationalization c'est magnifique
 / /
 \ / (415) 390 4387 Opinions mine etc ...
```

Frank.

```
--
/* Frank J. Ijzerman ----- (frank@spacotec.no) */
/*      Spacotec a.s          */
/*      Prestvannveien 38, N-9005 Tromsø 1/2, Norway      */
/* Telephone : +47 77 68 45 00   Telefax: +47 77 65 58 59 */
```

/* (...with the bravery of being out of range!) */
