
Subject: Re: Commutativity of multiplication

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:54:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sven Geier writes:

```
> There's something odd afoot with ULONG variables (in 6.3) that isn't clear
> to me:
>
> IDL> help,f,g,h
> F          LONG      =      500
> G          ULONG     =        1
> H          FLOAT     =    1.00000
> IDL> help,f*h,h*f
> <Expression>  FLOAT   =    500.000
> <Expression>  FLOAT   =    500.000
> IDL> help,f*g,g*f
> <Expression>  LONG    =      500
> <Expression>  ULONG   =      500
>
>
> Is this a bug? A feature? Well-known gotcha? Obscure property? Recently
> added functionality? Vestigial peculiarity?
```

I'm pretty sure it's not a bug. I don't know about all the rest of the possibilities. -)

I think the operative rules here are:

1. Maintain the type of data that maintains the most accuracy
2. If things are the same, process from left to right.

A ULONG and a LONG are both four bytes, and "accuracy" is nebulous. A long could be negative. Is that "more accurate" than treating the highest byte as a number rather than a sign? I think you could argue both ways. So let's call it even. Then, falling back on rule 2 explains the results.

It also explains this:

```
IDL> f = 500L
IDL> g = 1UL
IDL> help, g*(-f)
<Expression>  ULONG   = 4294966796
IDL> help, (-f)*g
<Expression>  LONG    =      -500
IDL> print, long(g*(-f))
-500
```

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
