Subject: Re: Syntax errors
Posted by Dominic Metzger on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:48:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hey Mike,

I used the code from:
 http://groups.google.com/group/comp.lang.idl-pvwave/tree/bro
wse_frm/thread/3440e343f95bdd62/0b00aaec3e114be9?rnum=11&amp
;_done=%2Fgroup%2Fcomp.lang.idl-pvwave%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread
%2F3440e343f95bdd62%2F071a7131acc5184b%3F#doc_c3f7eca04ca4e9 4f
to create an idl-bridge.

The syntax error messages are gone :-D BUT it doesnt seem that the
methods are loaded in the original idl instance but only in the newly
created IDL sessions.

thanks,

dometz

mgalloy@gmail.com wrote:
> Dometz wrote:
>> Me again. Why isnt it possible to suppress syntax errors in
>> RESOLVE_ROUTINE unless I use EXECUTE and IDL_IDLBRIDGE instead? How
>> come !QUIET or CATCH, ERROR wont take of it?
>
> Is this a philosophical question? I guess I'm practically minded (or
> used to this kind of thing in IDL).; accept it or file a feature
> request with ITTVIS.
>
>> Or is there another way than using execute so that any errors caused by
>> RESOLVE_ROUTINE (including syntax errors) wont be shown / printed to
>> screen?
>
> I went up the "ladder of sledgehammer to pound in a nail" for
> suppressing error messages:
>
>   1. CATCH
>   2. !quiet = 1
>   3. EXECUTE(..., 1)
>   4. IDL_IDLBridge
>   5. Callable IDL
>
> The first three didn't do it. So I did #4 (I'm not going to do #5, but
> feel free). There may be other techniques out there, but I didn't think
> of any others.
>

> Mike
> --
> www.michaelgalloy.com