Subject: Re: POLYFILLV weirdness

Posted by JD Smith on Mon, 20 Nov 2006 20:31:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Sun, 19 Nov 2006 15:43:00 -0700, Jean H. wrote:

- > dktr.ted@gmail.com wrote:
- >>> PolyFillV is not using the provided polygons coordinates but a "fix()"
- >>> of them.... which induce this extra line on the left and at the bottom
- >>> (and a few missing pixels on the right side and on the top If I remember
- >>> well). I personnaly used a round() over my polygon coordinates and it
- >>> was returning much better results... though still not perfect!

>>

>>

- >> This practice is particularly horrifying to me considering I frequently
- >> use ROIs defined in physical coordinates and convert them to array
- >> coordinates (commonly fractional) before running POLYFILLV. Is there
- >> anywhere I can have a look at the actual algorithm used in IDL for this
- >> routine? The documentation references the scan line coordinate system
- >> defined in Rogers, Procedural Elements of Computer Graphics, 1985, but
- >> I'm reluctant to hunt down this out of print text without confirmation
- >> that I will get something useful out of it.
- >> Ted

>

> Hi Ted,

>

- > the code is not available... but you can have a look here:
- > http://www.ittvis.com/services/techtip.asp?ttid=3539
- > The process is a bit more explained...

This is a crummy old algorithm. I've lobbied unsuccessfully for RSI/ITTVIS to put real polygon clipping into IDL, either something simple like Sutherland-Hodgeman, or a full-up "holes and degenerate edges" Greiner-Hormann algorithm or other method, e.g. something like gpc:

http://www.cs.man.ac.uk/~toby/alan/software/

Any of these can clip an arbitrary polygon against another polygon (or just a grid in the Sutherland-Hodgeman case), compute the exact area of overlap as well as the actual overlap polygon itself. That latter two can even deal with holes and other weird polygon forms. I have a slow IDL Sutherland-Hodgeman implementation, as well as a C DLM for the same, but it sure would be nice not to have to go to that. If you share this concern, let your ITTVIS representatives know.

JD