Subject: Re: Anyone used FastDL?
Posted by Robbie on Tue, 19 Dec 2006 10:35:02 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

A few points I'd like to clarify:

I don't think that ITTVIS is setting the benchmark for these expensive prices. The price of FastDL and IDL Analyst are more likely based on the original prices that TechX and Visual Numerics charged. ITTVIS is really just passing on the cost.

There are many ways to achieve clustered computing and none of them are cheap so far as time or money is concerned. Most solutions involve copious amounts of recoding. Even a simple batch processing script (like you suggest) over several computers can end quickly in a train wreck. I've done some preliminary work on network semaphores and I'm hoping to find a solution to avoid colliding batch processes.

A better example of this kind of behavior is IDLffDICOMex, IDLExportBridge and IDLDataMiner. My understanding is that these products are wholly owned by ITTVIS. I think that releasing them as pricey add ons stagnates the language. Customers are expected to recode their DICOM applications when they upgrade from IDLffDICOM to IDLffDICOMex. Customers are expected to fiddle with musical sav files until IDLDataMiner becomes absolutely necessary. It's bad for the customer and it's bad for the language.

Robbie