Subject: Re: READS as a speed improvement or simply style?
Posted by Brian Larsen on Thu, 08 Feb 2007 21:35:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Bob,

nice noticing. I remove the trim function (removes leading and
trailing spaces)

and I get the same speed.
IDL> .run test
 Elapsed time: 00:00:08 (8.5097780 sec)
 Elapsed time: 00:00:08 (8.4192050 sec)

So the slow culprit is trim.

Brian

 -- ---------
Brian A. Larsen
Dept. of Physics
Space Science and Engineering Lab (SSEL)
Montana State University - Bozeman
Bozeman, MT 59717

On Feb 8, 2:29 pm, "R.G. Stockwell" <n...@email.please> wrote:
> "Brian Larsen" <balar...@gmail.com> wrote in message
>
>   news:1170969593.224830.24540@j27g2000cwj.googlegroups.com...
> ...
>   Elapsed time: 00:00:29 (29.851995 sec)
> ...
>
>> Elapsed time: 00:00:08 (8.1684880 sec)
>
>> Which is pretty amazing!!!
>
> It may be due in a fair bit to the fact that method one
> calls 10 functions each iteration, and method 2 calls 1.
> There is some overhead involved in each function call.
>
> Personally I don't see that much of a difference
> I get the opposite result: (removing the unkown trim function)
> Elapsed time: 5.2030001 Seconds.
>

> Elapsed time: 7.0630000 Seconds.
>
> Cheers,
> bob