
Subject: Re: .sav format

Posted by [Haje Korth](#) on Tue, 06 Feb 2007 20:00:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Sure the idl code can be recovered, just like you can disassemble any executable file. You won't recover the variables names but you can see the logic of the code. The question is more whether its worth the effort. I would be curious in seeing how it's done but asking myself whether I would waste my time on it, the answer is absolutely NO. What's the sense? Even the ENVI routines are in the end just implementations of publicly available algorithms.

"Guillermo" <gcastill@ucalgary.ca> wrote in message

news:1170788649.657637.173590@l53g2000cwa.googlegroups.com... .

> On Feb 6, 8:42 am, David Fanning <d...@dfanning.com> wrote:

>> I think the more obvious reason is that ENVI consists

>> of IDL save files. :-)

>

> Hold on! Excuse my ignorance, but do this thread and the thing of the
> 'reverse engineering' mean that anyone having the right tools (eg. the
> company proprietary of the language) can retrieve the source code from
> a code.sav file?? Sounds scary...

>

> Guillermo

>

> --

> Guillermo Castilla (PhD)

> Foothills Facility for Remote Sensing and GIS

> Earth Science Building, room 904

> 2500 University Dr. NW

> Calgary, AB T2N 1N4

> <http://homepages.ucalgary.ca/~gcastill>

>
