
Subject: Re: READS as a speed improvement or simply style?

Posted by [Brian Larsen](#) on Fri, 09 Feb 2007 01:55:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Actually these is a solarsoft routine trim() that does a bit more than
strtrim()

Brian

Brian A. Larsen
Dept. of Physics
Space Science and Engineering Lab (SSEL)
Montana State University - Bozeman
Bozeman, MT 59717

On Feb 8, 5:49 pm, Me <D...@sk.me> wrote:

> Brian Larsen wrote:

>> Bob,

>

>> nice noticing. I remove the trim function (removes leading and

>> trailing spaces)

>

> it is not trim() but strtrim()

>

> Jean

>

>

>

>> and I get the same speed.

>> IDL> .run test

>> Elapsed time: 00:00:08 (8.5097780 sec)

>> Elapsed time: 00:00:08 (8.4192050 sec)

>

>> So the slow culprit is trim.

>

>> Brian

>

>> -----

>> Brian A. Larsen

>> Dept. of Physics

>> Space Science and Engineering Lab (SSEL)

>> Montana State University - Bozeman

>> Bozeman, MT 59717

>

>> On Feb 8, 2:29 pm, "R.G. Stockwell" <n...@email.please> wrote:

>>> "Brian Larsen" <balar...@gmail.com> wrote in message

```
>
>>> news:1170969593.224830.24540@j27g2000cwj.googlegroups.com...
>>> ...
>>> Elapsed time: 00:00:29 (29.851995 sec)
>>> ...
>
>>>> Elapsed time: 00:00:08 (8.1684880 sec)
>>>> Which is pretty amazing!!!
>>> It may be due in a fair bit to the fact that method one
>>> calls 10 functions each iteration, and method 2 calls 1.
>>> There is some overhead involved in each function call.
>
>>> Personally I don't see that much of a difference
>>> I get the opposite result: (removing the unknown trim function)
>>> Elapsed time: 5.2030001 Seconds.
>
>>> Elapsed time: 7.0630000 Seconds.
>
>>> Cheers,
>>> bob
```
