

---

Subject: Re: idl bytecode

Posted by [Haje Korth](#) on Mon, 19 Feb 2007 15:19:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JD,

Good point, haven't thought of that.

Haje

"JD Smith" <jdsmith@as.arizona.edu> wrote in message  
news:pan.2007.02.17.01.13.04.913158@as.arizona.edu...

> On Fri, 16 Feb 2007 08:13:37 -0500, Haje Korth wrote:

>

>> In IDL you do not need a byte code file to run a program. When you run a

>> program it is first compiled into memory and then executed. As Craig

>> pointed out there is a possibility to create SAVE files which can among

>> other things contain byte code. Unless you have code you don't want

>> others

>> to see, save files are in my opinion a rather impractical way for

>> distributing code.

>

> The other time when bytecode is convenient is to package all your

> dependencies into one file which is guaranteed not to conflict with

> various other tools broken inclusion of AstroLib/MPFit/etc. (I know

> people have 5 versions of MPFit from ~2000 via recent releases of

> tools which "bundle" it -- ouch). If everything is in a single sav,

> and they load it, they'll be in fine shape.

>

> JD

>

---