

---

Subject: Re: hist\_nd question

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Wed, 07 Mar 2007 14:17:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

David Fanning <news@dfanning.com> writes:

> JD Smith writes:

>

>> As is often

>> pointed out, small loops which perform lots of work per iteration do

>> not feel the loop penalty, and depending on the exact memory

>> requirements, can actually excel over loop-free methods (yes, you hear

>> me saying this).

>

> Craig must be rolling over in his grave. Or is he

> still out there? Haven't heard from him in a while,

> it seems. :-)

Rolling over in my grave? Reports of my death are a bit premature.

One of the people that "often points out" that loops that do a lot of work per iteration are OK... is me :-)

Yes, I'm reading the IDL newsgroup less these days, sorry. :-(

I have a whole other world of code to puzzle about.

Craig

--

-----  
Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D.    EMAIL: [craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu](mailto:craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu)  
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response  
-----