

---

Subject: Re: Sky Falling, etc. : Array substitution + addition with plus-equal (+=)  
Posted by [MarioIncandenza](#) on Tue, 13 Mar 2007 18:35:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks for the great explanations, that one really had my head spinning.

> One additional point is worth mentioning: when setting large arrays, the  
> "offset" method of specifying a single index on the LHS is much faster  
> than the '\*' method of building the full index list to match up the  
> dimensions of left and right-hand side arrays:

Well, yes. Which is how I came across this problem. The "offset" method does not work for "+=" or any of the "iterative" operations, because of the behavior you described.

My application is building a grand [X,Y,T] sum, from contributions of a routine which returns an [X,Y,n] array, with n varying from, say, 1 to 10. Without the increment operation, I have to do

```
totals=fltarr(2,2,6);  
add=GetAdd(InputArgs,ReturnT0=T0); Get ADD and the initial index in T  
(T0)  
sz=size(add)  
if(sz[0] eq 2) then NT=1 else NT=sz[3]; get size of 3rd dimension  
T1=T0 + NT - 1  
previous_totals=totals[:,*,T0:T1]; extract subarray corresponding to  
ADD  
totals[0,0,t0] = previous_totals + add ; copy new totals back into  
TOTALS
```

Which comes at considerable computational cost. But, that's life.  
Thanks again for the explanations.

---