Posted by mmeron on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 18:15:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message In article <MPG.20916bc4bfdc7a43989f4c@news.frii.com>, David Fanning <news@dfanning.com> writes: > David Fanning writes: > >> I don't think there is anything "wrong" about your >> assumption, except that it doesn't reflect reality. >> This is how most of us go wrong with assumptions. >> We assume something is so that ain't so. :-) > > Just to enlarge on this a bit, after poor typing > skills, incorrect assumptions probably makes up > the largest percentage of "bugs" in software. If > you hear someone shouting "I don't f---ing > believe this!", you can be almost certain an > assumption is going to come crashing down. > In general, there are better outcomes when our > assumptions closely model reality than when they > do not. In this particular case, if your assumption > is "floating point math on computers can drive you > crazy", then you are likely to make fewer mistakes > than if your assumption is "computers always do > what I expect them to do." In other words, "an ounce of paranoia saves a pound of debugging". Mati Meron | "When you argue with a fool, chances are he is doing just the same" meron@cars.uchicago.edu

Subject: Re: fix(4.70*100) is... 469