
Subject: Re: fix(4.70*100) is... 469

Posted by b_efremova@yahoo.com on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 17:44:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'll try one more time , see if it works.

Here is my initial statement:

When I handle a floating point number, 470.000

it better stay the same number with the precision I use it.

It may well be 469.999999999999999999999999 and this is perfectly fine with me if when used with a floating point precision it is rounded to 470.000

OK, so if I do something, like

converting 470.00 to double, I have no right to complain when the number I get is 469.999999999999999999999999435462346 with any arbitrary numbers in the digits exceeding the precision I had provided.

On the other side, I would expect when I use this number with the provided precision or lower to matter if I had given the number 470.000 or 469.999.

In short, when converting my number to something of lower precision like integer

I would expect the number to be rounded.

but the integer of 469.9999 is 469. which is not true.

I also had the wrong assumption that FIX will FIRST round the number to the precision I'm working with and THEN truncate.

Now when I think of this, it is not very intelligent assumption.

Well, I did it.

On the other hand I still think that

```
print,469.9999,format='(i3)'
should be
470
```

but it is 469 insted.

What is wrong about this assumption of mine?

Cheers

Boryana
