
Subject: Re: fix(4.70*100) is... 469
Posted by [Jean H.](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 00:58:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>> so how can it be the float accuracy problem if the difference
>> between the expected and the real value is 256 times bigger than the
>> float error?
>>
> Careful here. The smallest float provides relative accuracy, meaning
> the difference between exact and stored value X doesn't exceed
> $X * (\text{machar}()).\text{eps}$. This is well satisfied here.
>
> Mati Meron | "When you argue with a fool,
> meron@cars.uchicago.edu | chances are he is doing just the same"

I don't understand why one should multiply epsilon by X why would
the acceptable difference between expect and real value be a function of
the value? ... $X = 100.0$ $Y = 900.0$ they both have the same number
of significant digits, so why would the max acceptable difference be
IDL> print, 100.0 * epsilon
1.19209e-005
IDL> print, 900.0 * epsilon
0.000107288

Also, if one must really multiply epsilon by X, does it mean that there
is an error on http://www.dfanning.com/math_tips/razoredge.html, at the
last line of the page?

IDL> print,abs(0.9 - (0.6+0.3)) / (machar()).eps

should it be
IDL> print,abs(0.9 - (0.6+0.3)) / 0.9 * (machar()).eps

???

I admit to be lost on this issue... and it scares me as I might have to
check/change all my codes!!!!

Do you have a reference at hand on this?

Thanks,
Jean
