

---

Subject: CDF question

Posted by [thompson](#) on Wed, 27 Dec 1995 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Please excuse me if this is a little outside the scope of this newsgroup, but I couldn't find one specifically for Common Data Format.

I'm reading some FITS binary table files that were converted from CDF format. The original CDF files have descriptions such as

```
!----- --
! Variable      Data      Number  Record  Dim
! Name          Type      Elements  Variance  Variance
! -----
! "LAT_SPACE"  CDF_REAL8      1      T      F

! Attribute Data      Value
! Name      Type
!-----
"FIELDNAM" CDF_CHAR    { "Heliographic Lat of the Craft" }
"VALIDMIN" CDF_REAL8   { -1.570796327 }
"VALIDMAX" CDF_REAL8   { 1.570796327 }
"SCALEMIN" CDF_REAL8   { -1.570796327 }
"SCALEMAX" CDF_REAL8   { 1.570796327 }
"LABLAXIS" CDF_CHAR    { "Helio Lat" }
"UNITS"    CDF_CHAR    { "rad" }
"FORMAT"   CDF_CHAR    { "F7.3" }
"DEPEND_0" CDF_CHAR    { "Epoch" }
"FILLVAL"  CDF_REAL8   { -1.0E31 }
"VAR_TYPE" CDF_CHAR    { "data" }
"DICT_KEY" CDF_CHAR    { " " }.
```

It appears that the data in the FITS binary table file has the same resolution as that given in the FORMAT statement, rather than the full resolution of the Real\*8 data type. In the above example, the numbers are quantized to 0.001 radians, even though the double precision numbers are theoretically much more precise than this.

I'm not very familiar with CDF, so I have some simple questions:

1. Are all CDF files written out to the resolution given by the FORMAT statement? Or is there a mode of writing CDF files that automatically applies this quantization?
2. If not, is it possible that the process of reading the CDF file unintentionally quantized the values? In other words, is there a mode of reading CDF files that automatically applies this quantization?

3. Failing the above two possibilities, is it standard practice for CDF files written by some of the groups here at Goddard to write out data to a quantization given by the FORMAT specification.

Please excuse these possibly naive questions.

Thank you,

Bill Thompson

---