Subject: Re: the NaN effect :-|

Posted by falsePaolo Grigis on Tue, 12 Jun 2007 15:59:11 GMT

metachronist wrote:
> [...]
>
> IDL's docu says:
> <snip from IDL ref guide: Page 1269/4090>
> If the MAX function is run on an array containing NaN values and the
> NAN keyword is not set, an invalid result will occur.
> </snip>
> The same is said for MIN also.
>
> So the result (OPS with MIN/MAX) is directly proportional to the
> number of NaN's we eat? er, add to the array? :P
>
> So what is right and what is wrong? Enlighten, please.

Why do you expect an "invalid" result to make sense?

Ciao,
Paolo

> /metachronist
>