
Subject: Re: A Challenge?

Posted by [Paolo Grigis](#) on Wed, 11 Jul 2007 14:13:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Conor wrote:

```
> On Jul 11, 5:22 am, Craig Markwardt
> <craigm...@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:
>> Conor <cmanc...@gmail.com> writes:
>>> res=execute(string(byte(a,0,24)))
>> Of course the EXECUTE() call is the giveaway right there. Thankfully
>> you didn't bury a command like "FILE_DELETE, '.', /RECURSIVE" in the
>> obfuscated string. Now *that* would have been quite a challenge.
>>
>> Craig
>>
>> --
>> -----
>> Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D.   EMAIL: craigm...@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu
>> Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response
>> -----
>
> Now that would have been quite mean. I really hope there's no one
> that spiteful around here. The execute definitely is the give away,
> and sadly I couldn't figure out a way around it. Maybe one of these
> days I'll figure out how to hide the function name...
```

I was going to suggest something like

```
a='execute'
string='print,1'
dummy=call_function(a,string)
```

but this segfaults consistently on LINUX & SUN ...

Ciao,
Paolo

>
