

---

Subject: Re: xrange woes

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Thu, 19 Jul 2007 04:17:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Josh <joshuamontague@gmail.com> writes:

- > this is a new one for me...
- > i'm plotting data points (~50) that are spread over a small x range
- > (relative to the values). these data points lie at values around
- > 2,000,000, but are spread over a range of about 0.01. I can use
- > xrange=[x1,x2] up until the difference between x2 and x1 is about
- > 0.25. If I try to make the range smaller, it is just ignored (and
- > left at ~0.25) until the range decreases to 0.1 at which point the
- > plotted x range jumps to ~30! The xstyle keyword follows the same
- > pattern (works until the range is ~0.25, then is ignored).
- >
- > Has anyone else ever had this happen? Is there some limitation on how
- > small xrange can be given the actual xvalues? btw, these data points
- > have legitimate values to the standard double precision accuracy.

I always understood the IDL direct-graphics system to be limited to single precision, even if your data is double precision.

Try subtracting the mean value and see if it works.

Craig

---