
Subject: Re: Randomize array order

Posted by [Conor](#) on Thu, 26 Jul 2007 13:40:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jul 26, 9:30 am, Allan Whiteford

<allan.rem...@phys.remove.strath.ac.remove.uk> wrote:

> Conor wrote:

>> Hi everyone!

>

>> Anyone know an efficient way to randomize an array (I have a
>> sorted array that I want unsorted). Initially, I tried something like
>> this:

>

>> array = findgen(1000000)

>> unsort = array[sort(randomu(seed,1000000))]

>

>> It works, but sorting on a million elements is rather slow. Anyone
>> know a faster way?

>

> Conor,

>

> Is it a million elements you want to do?

>

> The following scales better:

>

> pro shuffle,in

> b=long(n_elements(in)*randomu(seed,n_elements(in)))

> for i=0,n_elements(in)-1 do begin

> tmp=in[i]

> in[i]=in[b[i]]

> in[b[i]]=tmp

> end

> end

>

> but on my machine, a million elements is around about where it starts to
> become as efficient as yours. For 10 million elements the above is a bit
> (17.05 seconds vs 12.92 seconds) but for 1 million elements they both
> come in at around 1.2 seconds (1.15 seconds vs 1.26 seconds). The above
> will scale as pretty much $O(n)$ since it doesn't do any sorting but it
> takes a hit in the practical implementation because of the loop in
> IDL-space. Your suggestion will scale worse than $O(n)$ but it seems the
> overlap in the two methods is exactly where you want to work.

>

> Maybe my loop can be made more efficient in practical terms but I don't
> think this is any better algorithm in terms of scaling (hard to imagine
> anything that could go faster than $O(n)$ to randomise n things).

>

> Probably not helpful but I thought it was interesting that the

> cross-over is exactly where you want to work. But, maybe I should get
> out more if I think that's especially interesting.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Allan

Thanks for the suggestions guys! I'll have to play around and see
what works best.
