
Subject: Re: Runtime license: newbie questions
Posted by [Vince Hradil](#) on Thu, 16 Aug 2007 22:17:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Aug 16, 5:13 pm, roche...@scientist.com wrote:

> Hi
> We have encountered this problem with fixed and floating licenses. IDL
> seems to preferentially pick the floating license ahead of a fixed
> one. The way we get around it is to use the interactive license up
> (run a command line idl session) and then run your sav file on another
> machine. Then it will pick up that license. Then you can go back and
> get out of the interactive one.
> Not optimal, but it works.

>

> hradilv wrote:

>> On Aug 16, 4:20 pm, David Fanning <da...@dfanning.com> wrote:

>>> hradilv writes:

>>>> When I run idl -rt=foo.sav the system reports "Installation number:
>>>> 11468" which is my interactive license.

>

>>>> idl -vm=foo.sav works fine, but you get that yucky splash screen.

>

>>> Well, yes, someone has to pay for not getting charged a

>>> license!!

>

>>> Cheers,

>

>>> David

>>> --

>>> David Fanning, Ph.D.

>>> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

>>> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.dfanning.com/>

>

>> I understand that. What I failed to mention is that I _have_ runtime
>> licenses. But the idl -rt=foo.sav takes my development license,
>> according to the "Installation number:" report.

I thought all of our licenses were "floating" - just rt vs. devel, but
I'll look into that.
