
Subject: Re: new miracle of minor ticks

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 29 Aug 2007 17:32:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Reimar Bauer writes:

> I am back from my journey. And I have had a similiar experience with
> doors as you David had but for the opposite direction. ;)

Reimar refers to an embarrassing experience in the past,
whose only saving grace was that it caused Karsten
Rodenacker to feel so much pity for me he became
a good friend.

<http://www.dfanning.com/adventures/munich/arrival.html>

Well, at least I know now there is *something* about
doors that is confusing to others. That is some solace. :-)

> But lets go to idl. The today most wanted answer is for:

>

> Where are the minor ticks?

>

> Any number of xticks gives no minor xticks.

>

>

> ; xminor

> ; The number of minor tick mark intervals.

> ; If set to zero, the default, IDL automatically determines the number

> ; of minor ticks in each major tick-mark interval.

> ; Setting this parameter to 1 suppresses the minor ticks, and setting it

> ; to a positive, nonzero number, n, produces

> ; n minor-tick intervals, and n?1 minor-tick marks.

I think the documentation is incomplete. I think it was meant to
say this:

If set to zero, the default, IDL automatically determines the
number of minor ticks in each major tick-mark interval, unless
you are totally screwing with its head by creating your own tick
values and names. Then it just throws up its hands and assumes
you know what the hell you are doing!

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
