
Subject: Re: Comparing 2 arrays

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Tue, 28 Aug 2007 18:43:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

> Jean H. writes:

>

>> to get back to a previous discussion we had a few month ago about being

>> "sufficiently close to zero", shouldn't it be (data1.A - data2.B) LT

>> epsilon * data1.A , with epsilon=(machar()).eps?

>

> OK, I found that discussion and read it eight or ten times until

> I finally understood it. (Probably why I forgot it before.)

>

> I've put a significantly edited discussion of this

> problem here:

>

> http://www.dfanning.com/code_tips/comparearray.html

>

> In my preferred solution now, I choose a number that

> is "sufficiently close" to zero like this:

>

> epsilon = (MACHAR()).eps

> NUMBER = (array_1 > array_2) * epsilon

>

> Then, the comparison between arrays is done like this:

>

> IF Total(Abs(array_1 - array_2) LT NUMBER) EQ N_Elements(array_1) \$

> THEN RETURN, 1 ELSE RETURN, 0

>

> Additional comments welcome if you want to argue further. :-)

Sure! :o)

I think you should also pass a scaling factor, ala,

```
FUNCTION FLTARRAYS_EQUAL, array_1, array_2, ULP=ulp
```

```
....
```

```
IF ( N_ELEMENTS(ulp) EQ 0 ) THEN ulp=1.0
```

```
....
```

```
NUMBER = (array_1 > array_2) * epsilon * ulp
```

```
....
```

```
END
```

Also, there needs to be differentiation for singel and double precision so you can determine epsilon correctly and set ulp to a suitable default (1.0 or 1.0d0).

cheers,

paulv

>
> Cheers,
>
> David
