

---

Subject: Re: Comparing 2 arrays

Posted by [James Kuyper](#) on Mon, 27 Aug 2007 17:40:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Conor wrote:

> On Aug 26, 12:43 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

>> Jean H. writes:

>>> to get back to a previous discussion we had a few month ago about being

>>> "sufficiently close to zero", shouldn't it be (data1.A - data2.B) LT

>>> epsilon \* data1.A , with epsilon=(machar()).eps?

>>

>> Humm, I don't recall that discussion. But I can see how

>> this number might meet the criteria of "sufficiently close".

>> On the other hand, I can also envision situations where

>> the number could be orders of magnitude larger and still

>> work for a particular application. I'm probably mistaken,

>> but it seems to me "sufficiently close" is an arbitrary

>> value that must be picked empirically to match the data

>> and what you are trying to do with it.

>>

>> Cheers,

>>

>> David

>>

>> P.S. I'm just thinking that "sufficiently close" to a

>> black hole, for example, might be a completely different

>> number than "sufficiently close" to my house.

>>

>> --

>> David Fanning, Ph.D.

>> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

>> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.dfanning.com/>

>> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

>

> Hmm... I think Jean might be on to something. After all, the error in

> question here is the rounding error of the computer, and that rounding

> error is always an error on the last 'bit' of a floating point

> number. So for instance if you had two floating point numbers:

>

> 1.1123453e15

> and

> 1.1123454e15

>

> These might be the same number (to within the rounding error) but the

> difference between them is about 6.7e07. That's assuming of course

> that I'm properly understanding floating point representation (I'm an

> astronomer, not a computer engineer).

The direct effect of a single roundoff error shouldn't be more than 1 bit in the last position. However, it is often the case that two different numbers that should mathematically be the same, have been brought together through a long series of operations. A roundoff error in the first operation could be magnified or reduced by the next operation, in addition to that operation creating round-off errors of its own. In general, you must either analyze the propagation of error through the calculations, or at least measure the typical error sizes empirically, as David suggested.

---