
Subject: Re: Principal Componets Analysis
Posted by [wlandsman](#) on Wed, 05 Sep 2007 14:45:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sep 3, 8:33 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

>
> You can find the tutorial here:
>
> http://www.dfanning.com/code_tips/pca.html
>
> Any and all comments welcome.

Well, a minor historical comment about the different PCA conventions. The pcomp.pro procedure was introduced into IDL in 1996, but prior to that Immanuel Freedman had written a procedure pca.pro (<http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftp/pro/math/pca.pro>) based on a FORTRAN program by Fionn Murtagh.

When pcomp.pro was introduced, it took me a long time to prove that pca.pro and pcomp.pro gave the same results. Below are the notes I wrote at the time:

The intrinsic IDL function PCOMP duplicates most most of the functionality of PCA, but uses different conventions and normalizations. Note the following:

- (1) PCOMP requires a N_ATTRIB x N_OBJ input array; this is the transpose of what PCA expects
- (2) PCA uses standardized variables for the correlation matrix: the input vectors are set to a mean of zero and variance of one and divided by sqrt(n); use the /STANDARDIZE keyword to PCOMP for a direct comparison.
- (3) PCA (unlike PCOMP) normalizes the eigenvectors by the square root of the eigenvalues.
- (4) PCA returns cumulative percentages; the VARIANCES keyword of PCOMP returns the variance in each variable
- (5) PCOMP divides the eigenvalues by (1/N_OBJ-1) when the covariance matrix is used.

And, yes, I verified that pca.pro also reproduces the results in your tutorial, but it requires even more adjustment than does pcomp.pro !
