
Subject: Re: Ambiguous keyword abbreviation error
Posted by [Foldy Lajos](#) on Wed, 12 Sep 2007 15:43:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 12 Sep 2007, David Fanning wrote:

> Conor writes:
>
>> You would think that since the keyword I'm using exactly
>> matches an actual keyword, IDL could figure out that I'm referencing
>> that keyword, rather than using an abbreviation.
>
> What algorithm would you use to do that? How could
> you do it unambiguously?
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>

FL does exactly this. The interpreter searches for an exact match first,
and looks for abbreviations only if an exact match can not be found.

Eg. let's have a simple procedure:

```
pro INT, long=l32, long64=l64  
end
```

Now INT can be called successfully with keywords LONG, LONG6 or LONG64,
only L, LO and LON will fail.

regards,
lajos
