

---

Subject: Re: Random problem with Delaunay triangulation

Posted by [wgallery](#) on Tue, 11 Sep 2007 21:15:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Sep 11, 3:27 pm, "Haje Korth" <haje.ko...@nospam.jhuapl.edu> wrote:

> Bill, here a practical tip: Have you tried to randomly reshuffle your input  
> data? Does this make a difference? H.

>

> "Haje Korth" <haje.ko...@nospam.jhuapl.edu> wrote in message

>

> news:fc6oi5\$ei3\$1@apl.netnews.jhuapl.edu...

>

>> Bill,

>> you just hit a nerve with me. I thought I was the only one having those

Haje,

I did not try reshuffling the input data, but did find a fix.

Originally, the input data had a minimum latitude of 30.0 deg N and the specified regular grid also had a minimum latitude of 30.0. When I expanded the input data to have a minimum latitude of 20 deg N, the error message went away. Apparently you need data outside the area of interest for the interpolation to be robust.

Further experimentation showed that when the minimum latitude of the input data was 30. deg, the interpolated values at 30 deg for the cases that did not fail showed large excursions from expected values. This may be partially due to the nature of the input data, which is poorly sampled below ~40 deg N (temperature data from the SABER instrument on the TIMED satellite.)

Does anyone has any experience with the relative merits of the following routines for interpolation on a sphere?

1. qhull and griddata
2. sph\_scat.pro
3. triangulate and trigrid

Bill

---