Subject: Re: ENVI File Question
Posted by Paul.Bowyer@gmail.com on Thu, 13 Sep 2007 16:57:10 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Sep 13, 5:15 pm, David Fanning <da...@dfanning.com> wrote:

- > David Fanning writes:
- >> Sorry for all the ENVI questions, but the documentation is
- >> wonderful in that it leaves so much for the imagination to
- >> fill out. :-)

>

> Oh, forget it. I'm not asking anymore ENVI questions.

>

- > (Well, that's not true, since asking the questions reveals
- > the answer--usually something dumb I've done--in the shortest
- > amount of time. But it would serve my purpose just as much
- > to send these questions to alt.sex.fetish instead of bothering
- > you good people.)

>

> Cheers,

>

- > David
- > --
- > David Fanning, Ph.D.
- > Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
- > Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:http://www.dfanning.com/

Hi David,

Couple of suggestions. Do you hard code the header filename into your program?

1. You list the header file as

ENVI Header Filename: /home/fanning/b2.img.hdr

- \*Normally\*, envi\_write\_envi\_file, will create a header file which has the same stem filename as the .img file, but substitutes the .img extension for .hdr, so that your header file would be b2.hdr not b2.img.hdr. Perhaps this is enough to confuse ENVI, and result in you not seeing the map info displayed, because it is looking for a file it can't find.
- 2. The difference between ENVI\_SELECT and ENVI\_PICKFILE, not updating the available bands list, I can't explain exactly, but just for info, there is a /NO\_OPEN keyword that may be set in ENVI\_WRITE\_ENVI\_FILE, so I would guess that this was why you get the different results, one uses it the other doesn't?
- 3. Do you really need to be writing ENVI images, ENVI can perfectly well handle GeoTIFFs?