Subject: Re: Recognizing double precision? Posted by Bringfried Stecklum on Sat, 06 Oct 2007 22:21:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
wlandsman wrote:
```

```
> About once a year I receive a complaint about my code because someone
> inputs a Julian date like this
> IDL> jd = 2441636.1
>
> and then gets mysterious results because the value of jd is
  "truncated"
> IDL> print, jd, f='(f10.2)'
> 2441636.00
>
> So it would it be reasonable to request that the IDL compiler
> recognize a number as double precision, if it has too many digits to
> be stored as a floating point number? After all, IDL does do
  something like this (in default mode) for short and long integers:
>
> IDL> a = 32767 \& help,a
             INT
                    = 32767
> IDL> a = 32768 & help.a
             LONG
                             32768
>
 I can't imagine how adding this capability would break existing code.
> Does anyone know if other interpreted languages can recognize a double
 precision number when they encounter one? Thanks, -- Wayne
Dear Wayne,
```

although I think that in principle the compiler could be able to make an automatic type declaration based on the number of digits of the string representing the numerical value, for the time being it might be easier to check whether the input is indeed double precision or not. For instance, I noticed that an earlier version of DAYCONV had the following line at the beginning

$$sz = size(xjd)$$

However the information on the size of the argument xid was never used. Perhaps somebody had in mind the following?

if sz[1] ne 5 then message, 'DAYCONV requires double precision!'

It would be hard to overlook a statement like this.

with kind regards,

Bringfried Stecklum