
Subject: Re: Recognizing double precision?
Posted by [R.Bauer](#) on Wed, 24 Oct 2007 18:15:33 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Hash: SHA1

wlandsman schrieb:

```
> About once a year I receive a complaint about my code because someone
> inputs a Julian date like this
>
> IDL> jd = 2441636.1
>
> and then gets mysterious results because the value of jd is
> "truncated"
>
> IDL> print, jd, f='(f10.2)'
> 2441636.00
>
> So it would it be reasonable to request that the IDL compiler
> recognize a number as double precision, if it has too many digits to
> be stored as a floating point number? After all, IDL does do
> something like this (in default mode) for short and long integers:
>
> IDL> a = 32767 & help,a
> A          INT      = 32767
> IDL> a = 32768 & help,a
> A          LONG     = 32768
>
> I can't imagine how adding this capability would break existing code.
>
> Does anyone know if other interpreted languages can recognize a double
> precision number when they encounter one? Thanks, --Wayne
>
```

I would prefer a good working function which does get the minimum type needed to represent the data. That would help on an other issue too. e.g. you could then read data type dependent into structures.

This function could be used for the compiler too but it should not be hidden from the user.

cheers
Reimar

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: GnuPG v1.4.5 (GNU/Linux)

Comment: Using GnuPG with SUSE - <http://enigmail.mozdev.org>

iD8DBQFHH4vD5aOc3Q9hk/kRAIVkAKC0mr00iPkpCKDKqJZXLioBT6ldWACg qRO8
5vQL005oOUN8ch0Tf5rYQps=
=Z4Fu

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
