Doug Edmundson writes:

> I hope all this has made sense. We had many a debate among ourselves as
> to the best way to achieve that ease-of-use design goal. Ultimately we
> decided that the IDL Workbench should pretty much do what a user would
> likely do... add new directories to the path preference. Not good for
> everyone, hopefully good for most, and it can be disabled.

And it is likely to open up a whole new class of
IDL conundrums for the IDL newsgroup to chew on, too! ;-)

"How come my program fails if I open A, then B, but
if I open C, it fails with a completely different error?"

I recommend you send someone out to teach an IDL
class with different path configurations on each computer
before you come to a final conclusion on this question. :-)

--
Cheers,

David

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")